

League of Women Voters of Chicago

Tax Increment Financing Briefing/Open Meeting October 14, 2017

Material from: Advantages and Disadvantages by Nancy Thompson posted on http://www.useful-community-development.org/tax-increment-financing.html

Beginning to Understand Tax Increment Financing

Tax increment financing, usually called TIF for short, is a means of financing public infrastructure and sometimes real estate development or redevelopment in general. Right now TIF seems the favorite among the tools and incentives available to governments to promote economic development.

Almost every state in the U.S. allows municipalities to grant some form of TIF, and this technique has been used in other nations as well. Internationally, the term may be value capture.

How This Economic Development Technique Works

The idea is to use future increases in property values, and therefore in property taxes that will be due the municipality, to finance an improvement here and now. The increased tax that the local government is expected to collect in the TIF district over a designated period of years, often 20, is the "tax increment."

Taxes continue to be owed on the original value of the property, but the tax on the increased value of the property after development or redevelopment is the tax increment.

The municipality then typically takes the promise of the tax increment to the bond market to obtain the money it needs to construct street, traffic signal, sewer, or other public infrastructure improvements to support a development.

In some instances, the support may actually take the form of contributing cash to the developer's cost to give the developer an incentive to do the project or allow the developer to make a reasonable profit in a risky situation.

Usually the development is a commercial or industrial land use, although a couple of states do allow residential tax increment financing as well. A TIF may apply to only one parcel of land or to quite a large area. Development plans tend to be fairly firm in the case of one parcel, but often there may no development proposals on the horizon at all if a large district is created by the local government to give an incentive for one or several developers to work in an area.

It's also possible that the local government takes a "pay as you go" approach, avoids indebtedness, and simply uses the increment as it is paid into the city to finance improvements on a very gradual basis.

Note also that a few states allow the tax increment from sales or other taxes, not just real estate property tax, to be incorporated into the TIF deal.

State laws also specify the purposes for which TIF proceeds may be spent. You will find a fair amount of variation among the states. Often tax increment financing supports assembling land, demolishing structures, cleaning up the site, extending or upgrading utility lines, expanding sewer capacity, requiring stormwater retention or wetlands mitigation, building new roads, upgrading traffic signals or adding turning lanes, installing sidewalks, building parking structures, beefing up street lights, and building myriad other site improvements.

In some states and regions, local governments have become quite loose in their interpretation of the state laws, and allow developers to basically subsidize actual normal construction. This quickly gets out of hand, as developers point to what was given to some previous developer and demand equal treatment.

Advantages of TIF

The theory behind tax increment financing is quite pro-social. It should help dilapidated areas become more attractive to investors because the public sector will spruce up the adjoining public realm, or in some instances, the public sector will enter those murky waters of actually subsidizing the development itself.

In spite of some negative-sounding comments further in the page, we would encourage your looking closely at this economic development tool if your <u>business attraction</u> requires that you satisfy the need or desire for larger, more extensive, or more modern infrastructure.

The ability to target a specific geographic area for new investment strengthens the argument for the use of tax increment financing. However, the precise boundaries of that district then become very important.

Part of the appeal of the use of tax increment financing is that no public vote is required. Many states require votes on various types of bond issuance for public purposes. Another advantage decision-makers see is that they can adhere to their "no new taxes" pledges and still provide additional infrastructure for the community.

Disadvantages of Tax Increment Financing

TIF seems to be becoming more and more popular, and more and more abused. So like any other economic development technique, it can be a gift or a burden to the citizens of any given municipality.

The benefit is that the municipality does not have to go begging to the state or federal government to request a grant to allow it to afford the public improvements that a private investment will need to be viable.

The problem then becomes that tax increment financing districts are subject to local politics and maybe political favoritism. Sometimes, like with any redevelopment financed by any method, the redevelopment itself drives out lower-income people and results in what is called gentrification (displacement of lower-income residents by higher-income ones).

Even more dramatically, although most states require a legislative finding by the city council that the TIF district is "blighted," sometimes it requires quite a stretch of the imagination to see a well-maintained and fully-occupied 25 year old office building in a posh suburb as blighted.

It's hard to understand why a **big box store** (such as a large discount store) would need public financing for road improvements when they propose to construct on a four-lane road.

And occasionally I've heard of rural or undeveloped areas becoming TIF districts where it seems that the governments in question should be requiring the developer to build the infrastructure instead.

As time goes on, we're seeing more and more permissive definitions of areas that qualify for TIF. Now, in addition to blighted areas, you might hear that your state allows TIF in what they might call a conservation area, essentially an area in danger of becoming blighted, or in an economic development target area designated by the local government. The latter could be almost anywhere.

Another big problem is that taxing districts other than the city government are foregoing tax revenues, but usually they don't have much input into the TIF decision.

The municipality makes the decision to forego future revenue growth, but the school district, library, community college, and other such districts suffer if the muni is wrong. These districts only receive the promise of a higher future tax base--which may or may not exist in 20 years.

By the expiration of the TIF district, the developer may have decided that they've made their profit and they're not going to reinvest in the maintenance, management, and refreshing that all developments need by that time.

This makes <u>school site selection</u> a nightmare. Lately some local governments have been allowing the TIF deal to make it possible for schools to receive some additional revenues.

One other substantial disadvantage should be mentioned. The entire premise of tax increment financing is that the assessed valuation of the property will rise after development or redevelopment.

The government, however, is left "holding the bag" if there is no increased assessed valuation, or if it falls back down to its previous level toward the end of the TIF period. Since we've just lived through a couple of bubbles, local governments should consider this possibility.

It may require the TIF-granting municipality to dig a little deeper into development finance than may be customary, but the fact that the entire scheme depends on this increased assessment merits that extra look.

This latter factor is an argument for keeping the tax increment financing term as short as possible also. Seven years may seem less risky than thirty from this perspective.

The best website we've found for tracking what is happening with TIFs and other development subsidies is called **Good Jobs First**, and it's quite worth the read. It shows state-specific facts on development incentives.

Are Economic Development Incentives Needed?

In instances where the development truly would not have happened "but for" the TIF funding being available to remedy some lack of public infrastructure, many citizens think their governments are striking a good bargain.

But when the development would have happened anyway and the tax increment would have been available to the municipality on an ongoing basis during the years the TIF district is in existence, that's when taking on debt to finance the development is more of a problem.

Since TIF or value capture has become so popular, many local government officials may not realize that their states provide for a number of less popular methods of abating taxes, financing public improvements through imposing a special improvement district, community improvement district, or neighborhood improvement district, as they may be called.

In one of our states, a special business district provision allows for an extra layer of taxation to provide security patrols, flower boxes, promotional events, and other cooperative ventures, as well as financing of public improvements.

Most states allow for ordinary special assessments, in which property owners agree to pay for sidewalks over a period of years. Investigate whether such a technique could allow the development you hope to attract to finance public improvements for you.

Don't be too quick to decide that tax increment financing is the only vehicle available to you for providing infrastructure and amenities you need to incentivize investment.

Invest in a call to your state or national economic development agency to talk over a comprehensive list of economic development tools and incentives that might be available to you as options. Then make an informed decision.